

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATÌON NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/468,246		12/20/1999	IAN M. WRIGHT	M-7825US	3396	
33031	7590	06/29/2004		EXAMINER		
		HENSON ASCOL	TRAN, PHUC H			
	SUITE 201	SPRINGS RD. I		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,	TX 7875			2666	1.0	
				DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	, -	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

۸.		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Α'		09/468,246	WRIGHT, IAN M.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•		PHUC H TRAN	2666					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address					
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR A MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communicat a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day; by period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cition.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become a common statute.	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.				
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	· ·	This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wind Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction in Papers	thdrawn from consideration.						
_								
	The drawing(a) filed on in (are a)		hutha Fuaniana					
ישונטו	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection							
	Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	d)				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the			u,.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received.  uments have been received in e priority documents have bee  Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Infon	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/97 No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

3 Art Unit: 2666

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinohara (U.S. Patent No. 6067298) in view of Khacherian et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5768257).
- With respect to claims 1, 6, 10, 12, 17, 24-25, & 32-33, Shinohara teaches an apparatus for switching packets from a network (e.g. Fig. 1), the apparatus comprising: an ingress receiver that receives packets from the network ("inbound packets")
  (block 20 in Fig. 1), the packets being destined for an associated output queue (each buffers 24 corresponds to buffers 31 as show in Fig. 1);

a switch fabric coupled to receive the inbound packets from the ingress receiver (block 102 in Fig. 1);

and an output traffic manager coupled to receive packets from the switch fabric ("outbound packets") (block 110 and 111 in Fig. 1), wherein the output traffic manager includes at least one queue (queue 31 in Fig. 1), the output traffic manager selectively stores outbound packets into a selected queue (col. 7, lines 24-27) and selectively drops outbound packets when the selected queue is at a certain fullness level (col. 3, lines 37-44). Shinohara teaches the output traffic manager communicates to the ingress receiver to suspend. Shinohara fails to teach the output traffic manager communicates to the

.4

Art Unit: 2666

ingress receiver to drop inbound packets destined for that queue. Khacherian from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches the dropping outbound packets at input queue (bridge paragraph between cols. 2-3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the dropping method of Khacherian into Shinohara at the input buffer to reduce the traffic load and during the congested period.

- With respect to claims 2, 11, & 16, Shinohara also teaches wherein the output traffic manager identifies at least the designation of imminently droppable or dropped outbound packets, and wherein the ingress receiver drops inbound packets based on an identified designation (e.g. output data controller monitors buffers and communicates back to input data controller, col. 4, lines 5-17).
- With respect to claims 3-5, 18-20, 26-28, and 34-36, Shinohara discloses wherein the designation comprises a port address to the network, or a class of service or virtual private network (e.g. the information of cells as Fig. 2).
- With respect to claims 7, 22, 30, & 38, Shinohara teaches wherein the ingress receiver discontinues inbound packet drop after a predetermined time (col. 14, lines 38-46).
- With respect to claims 8, 13, 21, 29, & 37, Shinohara discloses wherein the output traffic manager uses the switch fabric to communicate to the ingress receiver to drop inbound packets (block 102 in Fig. 1).
- With respect to claims 9, & 14, Shinohara also discloses wherein the output traffic manager uses a dedicated communications bus to communicate to the ingress receiver to drop inbound packets (line 70 in Fig. 1).

- Art Unit: 2666

- With respect to claims 15, 23, & 31, Shinohara teaches a method of reducing packet traffic through a switching fabric (e.g. the method controlling the buffering as Fig. 1), the method comprising:

receiving packets from a network ("inbound packets") (block 20 in Fig. 1); transmitting each packet to the switching fabric (e.g. cells go through block 102 in Fig. 1);

selectively queuing packets from the switching fabric (queues 103 in Fig. 1); detecting imminent or active dropping of packets ("dropped packets") due to a queue being full (block 115);

signaling to drop inbound packets destined for the queue (line 60 in Fig. 1); and dropping inbound packets destined for the queue (col. 4, lines 5-17).

Shinohara fails to teach the output traffic manager communicates to the ingress receiver to drop inbound packets destined for that queue. Khacherian from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches the dropping outbound packets at input queue (bridge paragraph between cols. 2-3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the dropping method of Khacherian into Shinohara at the input buffer to reduce the traffic load and during the congested period.

## Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments filed 4/8/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- Art Unit: 2666

In response to Applicant's argument that "the cited art fails to teach or suggest a system in which, 'approximately when the output traffic manager drops...."

In page 3. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The claim languages

"approximately" and "about" in claim 1, could not interpret the metes and bounds of the claim so as to understand how to avoid infringement. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPO2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989).

### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUC H TRAN whose telephone number is (703) 308-7471. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RAO SEEMA can be reached on (703) 308-5463. The fax phone numbers for

- Art Unit: 2666

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9314.

Phuc Tran Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2664

P.t June 24, 2004

PANCINI DE LA LINE DE